



Jodi S. Sirotnak
Regulatory Analyst
Federal Government Affairs

Suite 1000
1120 20th Street, NW
Washington DC 20036
202-457-3854
FAX 202-263-2661
jodisirotnak@att.com

June 27, 2003

RECEIVED

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW, Room TWA-325
Washington, DC 20554

JUN 27 2003

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: Annual Log Summary of Consumer Complaints
CC Docket No. 98-67

Dear Ms. Dortch,

In accordance with Commission rules, AT&T submits an original and four copies of its Annual Summary of Consumer Complaints for the time period of June 1, 2002 - May 31, 2003.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Jodi S. Sirotnak".

Attachments
cc: Erica Meyers

Handwritten initials "HS" and a signature "H4" over a horizontal line.

RECEIVED



JUN 27 2003

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

AT&T Relay Services

**Annual Summary of Consumer
Complaints**

June 2002 – May 2003

AT&T RELAY SERVICES
ANNUAL SUMMARY OF CONSUMER COMPLAINTS
June 1, 2002 through May 31, 2003
Complaint Summary by Category

<i>As of June 9, 2003</i>	2002							2003					
Complaint Category	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	Total
Transparency	1		3	5	3		1	3	2	2	2		22
Confidentiality			1	1		1		1					4
Verbatim	4	6	1	9	1	8	8	2	3	2	2	2	48
Typing Issues	6	5	10	6	3	4	6	5	4	4	6	7	66
In Call Replacement						1							1
Answer Performance	10	5	13	4	7	4	8	8	4	10	3	2	78
Gender Accommodation													0
Total	21	16	28	25	14	18	23	19	13	18	13	11	219

Note:

- 1) Effective June 1, 2002, AT&T Relay Services is the contracted relay provider for the State of Maryland.
- 2) Effective July 1, 2002, Vermont Relay Service transitioned to alternate relay provider.

ALABAMA RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL SUMMARY OF CONSUMER COMPLAINTS
June 1, 2002 through May 31, 2003
Complaint Summary by Category

As of June 9, 2003

	2002							2003					
<i>Alabama</i>	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	TOTAL
VOICE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
TTY	0	2	0	0	1	2	3	2	2	1	0	0	13
TOTAL	0	2	0	0	1	2	3	2	2	2	0	0	14

As of June 9, 2003

	2002							2003					
<i>Complaint Category</i>	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	Total
Transparency													0
Confidentiality													0
Verbatim		2			1	2	3	1	1				10
Typing Issues								1					1
In Call Replacement													0
Answer Performance									1	2			3
Gender Accommodation													0
Total	0	2	0	0	1	2	3	2	2	2	0	0	14

**ALABAMA RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

June 2002 – Nothing to report

July 2002

TTY July 12, 2002

The customer complained the CA did not type verbatim.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Documented for reporting purposes.

Contact Closed: July 12, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

TTY July 22, 2002

The customer complained the CA did not type the entire recording on his relay call.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, RI and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: July 22, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

August 2002 – Nothing to report

September 2002 - Nothing to report

October 2002

TTY October 11, 2002

The customer complained the CA had not relayed the call verbatim.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: October 11, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

November 2002

TTY November 3, 2002

The customer complained the CA had not relayed the call verbatim.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, RI and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to customer and advised report would be written.

Contact Closed: November 3, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

**ALABAMA RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

TTY November 27, 2002

The customer complained the CA had not relayed the call verbatim.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: November 28, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

December 2002

TTY December 12, 2002

The customer complained the CA had not relayed the call verbatim.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: December 13, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

TTY December 13, 2002

The customer complained the CA had not relayed the call verbatim.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: December 13, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

TTY December 18, 2002

The customer complained the CA had not relayed the call verbatim.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: December 18, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

January 2003

TTY January 2, 2003

The customer complained that he/she could not understand the CA's typing.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

**ALABAMA RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

Resolution: Apologized to the customer for the inconvenience.

Contact Closed: January 2, 2003

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY January 8, 2003

The customer complained that the CA did not keep her informed or relay her call verbatim.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: January 8, 2003

FCC: Verbatim

February 2003

TTY February 4, 2003

The customer complained the CA was slow in relaying the call, and did not relay the call verbatim.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience.

Contact Closed: February 4, 2003

FCC: Verbatim

TTY February 21, 2003

The customer complained that she could not get through to relay when dialing 711. A hearing friend called and got a recording that all CAs were busy.

Category: Other (Equip)

Escalation: Received by the Relay Website and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and explained that she should also receive a TTY message if all CAs are busy.

Contact Closed: February 24, 2003

FCC: Answer Performance

March 2003

TTY March 13, 2003

The customer complained she had to wait a long time to reach a CA.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the problem would be reported.

**ALABAMA RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

Contact Closed: March 13, 2003

FCC: Answer Performance

Voice March 31, 2003

The customer complained of being unable to connect to the relay service.

Category: Other (Equip)

Escalation: Received by the Relay Customer Service Line and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Informed the caller that a technical issue may have caused the problem and it has since been resolved.

Contact Closed: April 3, 2003

FCC: Answer Performance

April 2003 – Nothing to report

May 2003 – Nothing to report

DELAWARE RELAY SERVICE
2003 ANNUAL SUMMARY OF CONSUMER COMPLAINTS
June 1, 2002 through May 31, 2003

As of June 9, 2003

	2002							2003					
Delaware	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	TOTAL
VOICE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

As of June 9, 2003

	2002							2003					
Complaint Category	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	Total
Transparency													0
Confidentiality													0
Verbatim													0
Typing Issues													0
In Call Replacement													0
Answer Performance													0
Gender Accommodation													0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**DELAWARE RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

June 2002 – Nothing to report

July 2002 – Nothing to report

August 2002 – Nothing to report

September 2002 - Nothing to report

October 2002 – Nothing to report

November 2002 – Nothing to report

December 2002 – Nothing to report

January 2003 - Nothing to report

February 2003 – Nothing to report

March 2003 – Nothing to report

April 2003 – Nothing to report

May 2003 – Nothing to report

GEORGIA RELAY SERVICE
2003 ANNUAL SUMMARY OF CONSUMER COMPLAINTS
June 1, 2002 through May 31, 2003

As of June 9, 2003

	2002							2003					
Georgia	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	TOTAL
VOICE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
TTY	1	2	6	0	4	2	2	2	1	2	0	0	22
TOTAL	1	2	6	0	4	2	2	2	1	2	1	0	23

As of June 9, 2003

	2002							2003					
Complaint Category	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	Total
Transparency													0
Confidentiality			1										1
Verbatim		1									1		2
Typing Issues										1			1
In Call Replacement													0
Answer Performance	1	1	5		4	2	2	2	1	1			19
Gender Accommodation													0
Total	1	2	6	0	4	2	2	2	1	2	1	0	23

**GEORGIA RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

June 2002

TTY June 4, 2002

The customer complained he/she had to wait a long time to reach a CA.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer, and assured her the complaint would be investigated.

Contact Closed: June 14, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

July 2002

TTY July 5, 2002

The customer complained he/she had difficulty reaching the relay service.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer for the inconvenience. Mentioned the issue would be documented and investigated.

Contact Closed: July 16, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY July 25, 2002

The customer complained the CA had not relayed the call verbatim.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Assured the customer his or her comments would be reported to customer service.

Contact Closed: July 25, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

August 2002

TTY August 2, 2002

The customer complained he/she had to wait a long time to reach a CA.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized, and advised the customer her concerns would be documented.

Contact Closed: August 7, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY August 9, 2002

The customer complained he/she had to wait a long time to reach a CA.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

AT&T PROPRIETARY - USE PURSUANT TO COMPANY INSTRUCTIONS

**GEORGIA RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer we would report her issue to our Technical Support for review.

Contact Closed: August 17, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY August 11, 2002

The customer complained the CA was slow to respond.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer his complaint would be documented.

Contact Closed: August 11, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY August 13, 2002

The customer believed CAs were taking his bank information and accessing his account.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Explained to the customer his complaint would be documented and forwarded for investigation.

Contact Closed: August 13, 2002

FCC: Confidentiality

TTY August 15, 2002

The customer complained the CA did not respond after he provided his number to call.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, RI and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and advised the customer we would investigate and forward to the CA's manager.

Contact Closed: August 15, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY August 26, 2002

The customer complained he/she had difficulty reaching the relay service.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the issue would be reported.

Contact Closed: August 26, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

**GEORGIA RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

September 2002 - Nothing to report

October 2002

TTY October 9, 2002

The customer complained they were unable to reach the relay service by dialing 711.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Relay Customer Service Line and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Forwarded the customer's complaint to Technical Support, and in conjunction with the customer's LEC, the issue was resolved.

Contact Closed: November 3, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY October 9, 2002

The customer complained they were unable to reach the relay service by dialing 711.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Relay Website and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Forwarded the customer's complaint to Technical Support, and in conjunction with the customer's LEC, the issue was resolved.

Contact Closed: October 31, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY October 10, 2002

The customer complained they were unable to reach the relay service by dialing 711.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Relay Website and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Forwarded the customer's complaint to Technical Support, and in conjunction with the customer's LEC, the issue was resolved.

Contact Closed: October 31, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY October 12, 2002

The customer complained they were unable to reach the relay service by dialing 711.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Relay Customer Service Line and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Forwarded the customer's complaint to Technical Support, and in conjunction with the customer's LEC, the issue was resolved.

Contact Closed: October 31, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

November 2002

A1&T PROPRIETARY - USE PURSUANT TO COMPANY INSTRUCTIONS

GAFCC0602-0503

**GEORGIA RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

TTY November 12, 2002

The customer complained the CA was slow to respond.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Assured the customer that his complaint would be reported, and transferred him to another CA for assistance.

Contact Closed: November 12, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY November 21, 2002

The customer complained that he is continuously disconnected when dialing into relay.

Category: Disconnect

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and suggested the customer provide us with dates/times the problem occurs.

Contact Closed: November 22, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

December 2002

TTY December 2, 2002

The customer complained the CA was slow to respond.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, RI and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer for the inconvenience.

Contact Closed: December 3, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY December 23, 2002

The customer complained that the CA did not respond when he dialed into relay.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the New Jersey Relay Center and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized for inconvenience, and advised his complaint would be documented.

Contact Closed: December 26, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

January 2003

TTY January 6, 2003

The customer complained he/she had to wait a long time to reach a CA.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

**GEORGIA RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer a report would be filed.

Contact Closed: January 7, 2003

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY January 30, 2003

The customer complained he/she had to wait a long time to reach a CA. She often has to hang up and redial to relay.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Relay Customer Service Line and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the problem would be reported.

Contact Closed: February 3, 2003

FCC: Answer Performance

February 2003

TTY February 14, 2003

The customer complained he/she had to wait a long time to reach a CA.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Virginia Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the problem would be reported.

Contact Closed: February 14, 2003

FCC: Answer Performance

March 2003

TTY March 14, 2003

The customer complained about the CA's typing errors.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer for the inconvenience.

Contact Closed: March 14, 2003

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY March 31, 2003

The caller stated she was having difficulty reaching relay the between 9 am and 12 o'clock noon.

Category: Other (Equip)

Escalation: Received by the Relay Customer Service Line and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

AT&T PROPRIETARY - USE PURSUANT TO COMPANY INSTRUCTIONS

GAFCC0602-0503

**GEORGIA RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

Resolution: Apologized to the customer for the inconvenience, and mentioned that our technicians were working on the problem.

Contact Closed: March 31, 2003

FCC: Answer Performance

April 2003

Voice April 16, 2003

The customer complained that the CA did not relay her call accurately, causing problem within the customer's family.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, RI and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: April 16, 2003

FCC: Verbatim

May 2003 – Nothing to report



MARYLAND RELAY
2003 ANNUAL SUMMARY OF CONSUMER COMPLAINTS
June 1, 2002 through May 31, 2003

As of June 9, 2003

	2002							2003					
Maryland	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	TOTAL
VOICE	2	0	2	3	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	14
TTY	11	7	8	8	3	2	5	4	2	1	1	3	55
TOTAL	13	7	10	11	4	3	6	5	2	2	2	4	69

As of June 9, 2003

	2002							2003					
Complaint Category	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	Total
Transparency			1	4	2			3		1	1		12
Confidentiality						1		1					2
Verbatim	2	1	1	1		2						1	8
Typing Issues	3	4	6	2	1		4	1	2	1	1	3	28
In Call Replacement													0
Answer Performance	8	2	2	4	1		2						19
Gender Accommodation													0
Total	13	7	10	11	4	3	6	5	2	2	2	4	69

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

June 2002

TTY June 3, 2002

The customer complained she had to wait on the line for a CA when calling into the relay service.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, RI and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer, and explained it would be reported.

Contact Closed: June 4, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY June 6, 2002

The customer had several complaints including waiting for an available operator and operators not providing their ID before a number is provided.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, RI and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer for the inconvenience, and thanked him for reporting these issues.

Contact Closed: June 6, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY June 6, 2002

The customer complained the CA did not type the entire recorded message.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, RI and handled by the same.

Resolution: Advised the customer the CA did type the recorded message verbatim, and the customer hung up.

Contact Closed: June 6, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

TTY June 10, 2002

The customer complained the operator's typing was slow.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Informed the customer the operator would be reviewed concerning his/her complaint.

Contact Closed: June 10, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY June 11, 2002

The customer complained she did not like the way the automation is handled because she has to wait for an operator to come on the line.

Category: Methods Related

AT&T PROPRIETARY - USE PURSUANT TO COMPANY INSTRUCTIONS

MDFCC0602-0503

MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and explained how the upfront automation works.

Contact Closed: June 11, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

Voice June 12, 2002

The caller reported receiving a busy signal when dialing Maryland Relay.

Category: Other (Equip)

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Reported the problem for technical investigation. Attempted to reach the customer for additional information, but was unsuccessful.

Contact Closed: June 25, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY June 23, 2002

The customer complained about the operator's typing.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Documented for reporting purposes.

Contact Closed: June 23, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY June 26, 2002

The customer complained he had to wait a long time to reach the relay service.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the Account Manager.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: July 11, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY June 26, 2002

The customer complained the operator had not relayed the call verbatim.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: June 26, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

TTY June 26, 2002

The customer reported that he tried calling relay several times, and the line never answered.

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Thanked the customer for reporting the problem, and forwarded his comments for investigation.

Contact Closed: June 28, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY June 26, 2002

The customer was very concerned that several times when calling relay the line just rang with no answer.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized for any problems this caused, and explained that a technical problem had occurred during the time he was calling.

Contact Closed: June 28, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY June 28, 2002

The customer had several complaints including operator typing/spelling errors, and having to wait for a recorded message to be relayed.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized for any problem he experienced, and suggested the customer provide up front instructions when calling an automated system.

Contact Closed: July 1, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

Voice June 28, 2002

The customer complained he/she had to wait a long time to reach a CA.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Relay Website and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer and explained that there were technical problems during the time she was attempting her calls.

Contact Closed: June 28, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

July 2002

TTY July 8, 2002

The customer complained the relay service numbers are answered by a recording, and callers are getting no response.

AT&T PROPRIETARY - USE PURSUANT TO COMPANY INSTRUCTIONS

MDFCC0602-0503

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, PA and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and thanked the customer for reporting the problem.

Contact Closed: July 8, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY July 15, 2002

The customer complained the operator typed too slow, and operators did not abbreviate properly at the beginning of his conversations.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer his comments would be shared with the operator's Manager and the Technical Department.

Contact Closed: July 15, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY July 19, 2002

The customer complained about the slow speed at which he received the operator's typing on 2Line VCO calls.

Category: Other (Equip)

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Reported for investigation.

Contact Closed: August 15, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY July 19, 2002

The customer complained the operator had too many typing errors.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer a report would be filed.

Contact Closed: July 19, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY July 21, 2002

The customer complained of a delay before operators come on the line and inform him that VCO is on.

Category: Methods Related

Escalation: Received by the Relay Customer Service Line and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and thanked the customer for providing his feedback.

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

Contact Closed: July 23, 2002
FCC: Answer Performance

TTY July 24, 2002

The customer complained the operator typed too slow.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: July 24, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY July 29, 2002

The customer complained the operator did not type verbatim.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer for the inconvenience and offered to provide her further assistance.

Contact Closed: July 29, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

August 2002

TTY August 1, 2002

The customer had several complaints including her profile not being active, and delays before reaching an operator.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the Account Manager.

Resolution: Thanked the customer for providing her feedback, and advised her to request a supervisor if any problems arise during her calls.

Contact Closed: August 3, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY August 1, 2002

The customer complained her profile was not active, the Relay Service is not answered by a live Operator and about delays in response time.

Category: Scope of Service

Escalation: Received by the Relay Customer Service Line and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Thanked the customer for providing feedback, and assured her that efforts will be made to improve service.

Contact Closed: August 1, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY August 7, 2002

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

The customer complained the operator's typing was slow and had many errors during her call.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Reported the issue to the Operator's manager for further review.

Contact Closed: August 7, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

Voice August 7, 2002

The customer complained the operator was not transparent during her relay call, and did not keep track of what was being voiced.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer, and assured her the information would be reviewed with the Operator.

Contact Closed: August 7, 2002

FCC: Transparency

TTY August 12, 2002

The customer complained the operator had not relayed the call verbatim.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the National Relay Center, RI and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: August 12, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

TTY August 15, 2002

The customer had several complaints which included lengthy operator greetings at the beginning of his relay calls and frequent typing errors.

Category: Other (Misc)

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the same.

Resolution: Documented for reporting purposes.

Contact Closed: August 16, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY August 15, 2002

The customer had several complaints which included operators mispronouncing her last name and frequent typing errors.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the same.

Resolution: Documented for reporting purposes.

Contact Closed: August 16, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

AT&T PROPRIETARY - USE PURSUANT TO COMPANY INSTRUCTIONS

MD\FCC0602-0503

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

TTY August 19, 2002

The customer complained the operator's typing contained many errors during his relay call.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Forwarded the customer's concerns to the operator's manager.

Contact Closed: August 19, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY August 26, 2002

The customer complained about the operator's typing speed.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: August 26, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

Voice August 29, 2002

The customer had several complaints including dissatisfaction with AT&T Relay Customer Care, Caller ID, Carrier of Choice, and CA typing speeds.

Category: Other (Misc)

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Made several attempts to reach him, but the customer was not available. Left him messages that included the number for customer care.

Contact Closed: September 1, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

September 2002

TTY September 3, 2002

The caller commented on the delay when he calls into relay before he gets the actual operator number. He also mentioned delays in dialing.

Category: Other (Equip)

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Explained the initial automation when he calls into relay, and thanked him for reporting his concerns.

Contact Closed: September 3, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

Voice September 4, 2002

The customer reported that the Operator did not remain transparent on her call. When

MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003

reaching the forward party, the Operator interrupted the call.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Forwarded the customer's comments to the Operator's manager for appropriate action.

Contact Closed: September 4, 2002

FCC: Transparency

TTY September 5, 2002

The customer complained about long times to reach an operator.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Explained the initial automation when dialing relay, and thanked the customer for his comments.

Contact Closed: September 11, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

Voice September 6, 2002

The customer reported that the operator did not remain transparent and interrupted the call.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Forwarded the customer's comments to the operator's manager for appropriate action.

Contact Closed: September 6, 2002

FCC: Transparency

TTY September 12, 2002

The customer had several complaints including waiting too long for an Operator and an Operator refusing to dial a number for him/her.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the same.

Resolution: Documented complaint and forwarded it to the Operator's manager for review.

Contact Closed: September 12, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY September 12, 2002

The customer complained that the operator acted as if she were part of his conversation, and would not place a sequence call for him.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

AT&T PROPRIETARY - USE PURSUANT TO COMPANY INSTRUCTIONS

MD\FCC0602-0503

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer his complaint would be reported.

Contact Closed: September 12, 2002

FCC: Transparency

Voice September 17, 2002

The customer complained about the operator's typing speed.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Pennsylvania Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: September 17, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY September 19, 2002

The customer complained that the operator did not remain transparent.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: September 19, 2002

FCC: Transparency

TTY September 20, 2002

The customer complained the operator typed slow and made many mistakes.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: September 20, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY September 26, 2002

The customer had several complaints including waiting for an operator to come on the line, VCO being cut off, and her calls being disconnected.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer for any problems she has been having.

Contact Closed: September 26, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY September 27, 2002

The customer complained the Operator did not type the name of the business he was calling.

Category: Attitude and Manner

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

Escalation: Received by the Relay Customer Service Line and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer and advised him his complaint would be documented.

Contact Closed: September 27, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

October 2002

TTY October 7, 2002

The caller complained the operator typed slow.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: October 8, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY October 10, 2002

The customer complained the operator made rude comments to the customer during a call

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: October 11, 2002

FCC: Transparency

TTY October 24, 2002

The customer complained the operators were slow to respond.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer that the operators' managers would follow-up accordingly.

Contact Closed: October 25, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

Voice October 27, 2002

The customer complained the operator kept prompting him/her to respond.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: October 28, 2002

FCC: Transparency

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

November 2002

Voice November 11, 2002

The customer complained of operators engaging in personal conversations with one another while relaying her call.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer her complaint would be reported.

Contact Closed: November 12, 2002

FCC: Confidentiality

TTY November 12, 2002

The customer complained the operator did not keep track of what was voiced during a call.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Reported the issue to the operator's manager for review.

Contact Closed: November 12, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

TTY November 27, 2002

The customer complained the CA had not relayed the call verbatim.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Attempted to apologize, but the customer hung up.

Contact Closed: November 27, 2002

FCC: Verbatim

December 2002

TTY December 3, 2002

The caller complained about the operator's typing errors in a message left on his answering machine. The operator also did not provide his/her gender.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: December 5, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY December 5, 2002

The customer complained about long a wait time before reaching an operator at the

MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003

Maryland Relay.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Relay Website and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized, and explained to the customer that settings in his profile may delay the call

Contact Closed: December 6, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY December 9, 2002

The customer complained that the operator did not properly announce a call to him, and typed extremely slow during the call.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: December 9, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY December 13, 2002

The customer complained she had to wait a long time to reach a operator.

Category: Answer/Wait Time

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience.

Contact Closed: December 13, 2002

FCC: Answer Performance

TTY December 16, 2002

The customer complained that the operator typed too slowly.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: December 16, 2002

FCC: Typing Issue

Voice December 16, 2002

The customer complained about the operator's typing mistakes.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Relay Customer Service Line and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Pending.

Contact Closed:

FCC: Typing Issue

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

January 2003

TTY January 3, 2003

The customer complained the operator added information to the message he/she wanted to leave on a pager.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: January 3, 2003

FCC: Transparency

TTY January 3, 2003

The customer complained about the operator's typing skills.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Relay Website and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer for the inconvenience.

Contact Closed: January 3, 2003

FCC: Typing Issue

Voice January 10, 2003

The customer complained of operators making rude personal statements, not being helpful, and not following instructions.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer for the inconvenience, and mentioned that all operators must follow a code of conduct during relay calls.

Contact Closed: January 11, 2003

FCC: Transparency

TTY January 14, 2003

The customer complained the first operator was not transparent, and the second operator failed to provide the number for TAM.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Relay Customer Service Line and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Forwarded the complaints to the operators' managers for review.

Contact Closed: January 15, 2003

FCC: Transparency

TTY January 16, 2003

The customer complained the operator provided his/her number to another party without authorization.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer, and explained that his/her number may have appeared on Caller ID.

Contact Closed: January 17, 2003

FCC: Confidentiality

February 2003

TTY February 11, 2003

The customer complained the operator typed too slow, misspelled many words, and was rude.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: February 11, 2003

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY February 27, 2003

The customer complained about the numerous typing mistakes made by the operator.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: February 27, 2003

FCC: Typing Issue

March 2003

TTY March 6, 2003

The customer complained that the operators were very unprofessional by not remaining transparent during his calls.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operators' managers would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: March 7, 2003

FCC: Transparency

Voice March 24, 2003

The customer complained that the operator was slow and could not keep up with his conversation.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's

AT&T PROPRIETARY - USE PURSUANT TO COMPANY INSTRUCTIONS

MDFCC0602-0503

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: March 24, 2003

FCC: Typing Issue

April 2003

TTY April 5, 2003

The caller is upset with the operators typing speeds and errors.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Account Manager and handled by the same.

Resolution: Documented for reporting purposes.

Contact Closed: April 5, 2003

FCC: Typing Issue

Voice April 14, 2003

The customer was upset that the operator did not remain transparent during his call.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the National Customer Care Center.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer. Investigated and found that the call had not been placed through Maryland or AT&T Relay.

Contact Closed: April 18, 2003

FCC: Transparency

May 2003

Voice May 9, 2003

The customer complained that the operators are rude and type too slow.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized to the customer for the inconvenience. At that point, the customer hung up.

Contact Closed: May 9, 2003

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY May 12, 2003

The customer complained that the operators type too slow, misspell words, hang up premature, and do not keep her informed during relay calls.

Category: Attitude and Manner

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Thanked the customer for providing her feedback. Advised her to provide operator ID numbers in the future so we could investigate.

Contact Closed: May 12, 2003

FCC: Typing Issue

**MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE
ANNUAL CONSUMER COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
JUNE 2002 – MAY 2003**

TTY May 22, 2003

The customer complained the operator typed too slowly.

Category: Typing Skill/Speed

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the operator's manager would follow accordingly.

Contact Closed: May 22, 2003

FCC: Typing Issue

TTY May 30, 2003

The customer complained the CA had not relayed the call verbatim.

Category: Other (CA/OPR)

Escalation: Received by the Maryland Relay Center and handled by the same.

Resolution: Apologized for the inconvenience, and assured the customer the CA's manager would follow up accordingly.

Contact Closed: May 30, 2003

FCC: Verbatim